

Linked AI supplier register example

Financial-entity ICT risk, procurement and operational-resilience teams

[Read the complete guide on aona.ai](#)

DORA's register covers contractual arrangements for ICT services, distinguishing those supporting critical or important functions from other arrangements. An AI-tool inventory is a useful starting point, but the register needs linked entity, provider, contract, service and function information. Validate those relationships and identifiers before using the official reporting format.

Trace a fictional provider through its contractual arrangement to the financial entity, service user and supported function. The pack includes populated linked CSVs.

All entities, contracts, references and dependency findings are synthetic. Internal EX identifiers are not legal identifiers; this is not an official filing or a completed DORA assessment.

Your review

Reviewer: _____ Date: _____

Organisation or team: _____

☐ **Validate the legal identities**

Confirm the contracting, supplying and using entities and the applicable valid LEI/EUID requirements.

☐ **Reconcile linked references**

Check that contract, provider, user and function records refer to the same actual arrangement.

☐ **Evidence the function assessment**

Have the accountable owner assess criticality, dependency and change triggers before mapping the complete official templates.

Checked items record your review, not a compliance approval or an installed-product test result.

Notes and next actions

Decision and scope: _____

Evidence to collect: _____

Owner and next review date: _____

Review matrix

Record relationship	Populated teaching entry	Official-structure handoff
Entity and user	ENTITY-EX-01: fictional Example EU Finance SA.	Link entity scope and users through B_01 and B_04.01 as applicable.
Direct provider	PROVIDER-EX-01: fictional Example Text Services Ltd.	Provider and signing-party information link to B_05.01 and B_03.02.

Record relationship	Populated teaching entry	Official-structure handoff
Contract	CONTRACT-EX-01: direct subscription for the fictional drafting service.	General/specific arrangement information belongs in the B_02 family.
Service	SERVICE-EX-01: employee correspondence drafting, no customer action automation in the example.	Map the actual ICT service and users to the contract.
Function	FUNCTION-EX-01: internal administrative correspondence.	Identify the supported function in B_06.01.
Criticality assumption	Non-critical only for the teaching scenario, with an alternative process.	Real function assessment needs evidence; B_07.01 concerns relevant critical/important-function service assessments.
Identifier validation	All EX references are internal teaching identifiers, not LEIs or EUIDs.	Obtain valid legal identifiers and complete the official data requirements before filing.

Follow the stable references

- **ENTITY-EX-01:** Uses the drafting service
- **CONTRACT-EX-01:** Links the entity to PROVIDER-EX-01
- **SERVICE-EX-01:** Supports FUNCTION-EX-01
- **FUNCTION-EX-01:** Internal correspondence

All references and the classification are synthetic.

Populated linked records

ENTITY-EX-01 uses SERVICE-EX-01 from PROVIDER-EX-01 under CONTRACT-EX-01. The service supports FUNCTION-EX-01. All names and identifiers are fictional.

Register mapping

Use the actual 2024/2956 template instructions for B_01 entity scope, B_02 arrangements, B_03 signing entities, B_04 users, B_05 providers/supply chain, B_06 functions and the relevant B_07 assessments. This exercise does not contain every required field or controlled vocabulary.

Data-quality finding

The EX references are suitable only for tracing this example. They must not be submitted as LEIs/EUIDs. No regulatory validation or filing has been performed.

DORA example entities

Entity ref	Entity name	Role	Identifier status
ENTITY-EX-01	Example EU Finance SA	fictional financial entity and service user	internal teaching reference; not an LEI

DORA example providers

Provider ref	Provider name	Role	Identifier status
PROVIDER-EX-01	Example Text Services Ltd	fictional direct ICT provider	internal teaching reference; not an LEI/EUID

DORA example arrangements

These records are arranged vertically for reading and printing. The Excel workbook retains the full column layout.

Record 1

Field	Record value
Contract ref	CONTRACT-EX-01
Signing entity ref	ENTITY-EX-01
Provider ref	PROVIDER-EX-01
Service ref	SERVICE-EX-01
Service description	Employee internal correspondence drafting
Status	synthetic direct subscription

DORA example functions

These records are arranged vertically for reading and printing. The Excel workbook retains the full column layout.

Record 1

Field	Record value
Function ref	FUNCTION-EX-01
Using entity ref	ENTITY-EX-01
Service ref	SERVICE-EX-01
Contract ref	CONTRACT-EX-01
Function description	Internal administrative correspondence
Criticality	non-critical teaching assumption
Evidence status	fictional alternative process; no real assessment

Sources and scope

Sources checked 2026-09-21. Review the current requirements and your actual agreement before using this workbook for a real decision. General information, not professional approval or a completed control test. Its AI catalogue is not the DORA register and does not establish legal identifiers, contract scope or critical-function classification.

[DORA: Regulation \(EU\) 2022/2554](#). Article 28 register-of-information obligations and distinction between ICT arrangements supporting critical or important functions and others.

[Commission Implementing Regulation \(EU\) 2024/2956](#). Register templates and instructions, linked contract/entity/provider/function data, valid provider identifiers and data-quality requirements.