

Synthetic screenshot review pair

IT security and customer-facing teams

[Read the complete guide on aona.ai](#)

Treat a screenshot as a disclosure of everything visible in its pixels, including small text, URLs, identifiers and console details. Prepare the minimum image needed for the question, remove unnecessary sensitive content using an approved workflow, then reopen the actual exported file. A picture format does not make readable company information harmless to share.

Compare the original pixels with a prepared copy and identify what was removed or retained.

Code-native fictional interface. All identifiers and token-like strings are fake; no OCR or endpoint-enforcement result is asserted.

Your review

Reviewer: _____ Date: _____

Organisation or team: _____

☐ **Review the full image, including its edges**

Read small text and incidental interface content, not only the main subject.

☐ **Open the exact prepared file**

Verify removed fields and useful context in the exported pixels.

☐ **Confirm the remaining content and input path**

Data permission and supported image inspection are separate checks.

Checked items record your review, not a compliance approval or an installed-product test result.

Notes and next actions

Decision and scope: _____

Evidence to collect: _____

Owner and next review date: _____

Review matrix

Check	Look for	Decision
Peripheral content	URLs, account labels and side panels	Needed for the question?
Small text	Console values and identifiers	Remove unnecessary disclosure
Prepared copy	The exact exported image	Inspect again at full resolution

Known changes in the example

- **Removed:** Case URL marker, reporter address and fake token

See the review key


- **Preserved:** Error, two stack frames and request relationship

Enough context for the question

- **Still to decide:** Permission and supported upload path


No real-service test performed

Synthetic helpdesk screenshot with a case URL, example email, request marker and fake token



Original synthetic image: review the URL, identifiers and console text. Every value is fictional.

Prepared synthetic helpdesk screenshot with known identifiers and fake token removed



Prepared counterpart: known exercise values removed, error and stack context retained. This is not a general redaction test.

Static screenshot exercise

Both images show a fictional interface and contain no real company data. The raw image includes deliberate fake identifiers and a non-working token-like marker. No live screen, account or AI service was accessed.

Compare screenshot-before.png with screenshot-reviewed.png at full resolution. Use review-key.csv to find the known changes, then complete the “Image disclosure review” section. The prepared image was rendered from the intended clean content, not produced by a general redaction engine. Do not treat this pair as proof that arbitrary blurring or an endpoint product removes image data.

Review key

Area	Source example	Prepared example	Purpose
Toolbar	SYNTHETIC_U01_CASE_014	Prepared excerpt	Remove case-specific URL
Reporter	alex@example.invalid	[IDENTIFIER REMOVED]	Remove unnecessary identifier
Request	SYNTHETIC_U01_REQUEST_021	[REQUEST 1]	Preserve correlation without original marker

Area	Source example	Prepared example	Purpose
Console	NOT_A_TOKEN_U01_7K9	Line removed	Remove fake credential-like detail
Error	ValueError and two stack frames	Retained	Preserve debugging context

Image disclosure review

Question the image must answer: _____
 Minimum useful view: _____
 Peripheral and small-text findings: _____
 Pixels removed or clean view recaptured: _____
 Exact exported filename reopened: _____
 Remaining content owner/account approval: _____
 Intended input-path coverage: UNVERIFIED
 Decision: NOT YET REVIEWED

Practice files

The complete download pack includes these original working files. Keep their original formats when running or inspecting the examples.

- [Screenshot before \(screenshot-before.png\)](#)
- [Screenshot reviewed \(screenshot-reviewed.png\)](#)

Sources and scope

Sources checked 2026-09-21. Review the current requirements and your actual agreement before using this workbook for a real decision. This topic does not establish automatic screenshot OCR, image redaction or coverage of every image input. Confirm the exact supported route.

[OAIC: Use of commercially available AI products](#). Explains suitability and privacy review for personal information supplied to AI.